# **Public Document Pack**



## **AGENDA SUPPLEMENT**

# **Cabinet**

Date: Tuesday, 28 January 2025

**Time:** 6.30 pm

Venue: Council Chamber, County Hall, Dorchester, DT1

1XJ

Chief Executive: Matt Prosser, County Hall, Dorchester, Dorset DT1 1XJ

For easy access to the Council agendas and minutes download the free public app Mod.gov for use on your iPad, Android and Windows tablet. Once downloaded select Dorset Council. For more information about this agenda please contact Kate Critchel 01305 252234 - kate.critchel@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk

## AGENDA

Page No.

#### 4 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

3 - 4

Representatives of town or parish councils and members of the public who live, work, or represent an organisation within the Dorset Council area are welcome to submit either 1 question or 1 statement for each meeting. You are welcome to attend the meeting in person or via MS Teams to read out your question and to receive the response. If you submit a statement for the committee this will be circulated to all members of the committee in advance of the meeting as a supplement to the agenda and appended to the minutes for the formal record but will not be read out at the meeting. The first 8 questions and the first 8 statements received from members of the public or organisations for each meeting will be accepted on a first come first served basis in accordance with the deadline set out below. Further information read Public Participation - Dorset Council

All submissions must be emailed in full to <a href="mailto:Kate.Critchel@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk">Kate.Critchel@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk</a> by 8.30am on Thursday 23 January 2025.

When submitting your question or statement please note that:

- You can submit 1 question or 1 statement.
- a question may include a short pre-amble to set the context.
- It must be a single question and any sub-divided questions will not be permitted.
- Each question will consist of no more than 450 words, and you will be given up to 3 minutes to present your question.
- when submitting a question please indicate who the question is for (e.g., the name of the committee or Portfolio Holder)
- Include your name, address, and contact details. Only your name will be published but we may need your other details to contact you about your question or statement in advance of the meeting.
- questions and statements received in line with the council's rules for public participation will be published as a supplement to the agenda.
- all questions, statements and responses will be published in full within the minutes of the meeting.

### Public Questions for Cabinet – 28 January 2025

#### **Question from Giles Watts**

Dorset Climate Action Network (DCAN) would like to commend Cllr Nick Ireland for his public support for the Climate and Nature Bill that receives its second reading in Parliament on Friday 24th Jan. DCAN fully supports the aims and intentions of the Climate and Nature Bill to address systemic problems with the UK's journey towards Net Zero and nature restoration; and to provide a leadership position for other countries to follow.

We also commend Cllr Nick Ireland for drawing attention to the fact that this bill was born out of cross-party collaboration and that issues of Climate Change and protection for the environment are too important to become mired in party politics.

By the time of the cabinet meeting, we will know whether the bill has received sufficient support from MPs to carry it through to the next stage of the parliamentary process. Assuming it does, we note the strange anomaly that Dorset Council itself is not recorded as a supporter of the bill despite the support from 380 councils other across the UK. So, would Dorset Council, be prepared to publicly endorse this bill?

#### **Question from UNISON**

UNISON is one of 3 recognised unions representing the voice or our workforce. We were disappointed yet again not to have received the Cabinet paper as agreed. We only had access to the Cabinet report once it became a public document. This is in direct contradiction to paragraph 9.4 which states that management are working with the unions. We highlighted this as a concern in our last question to the Joint Overview Committee on the 9th January 2025.

Staff reduction figures (callously called benefits) were only known at the last minute which puts into question the validity of the report. We were advised that officers had not had sight of the detail until the Friday before and that the final report was not completed until Monday 20th January. It also calls into question the role of PwC; this information should have been provided in good time.

UNISON is concerned that the proposals of OFC are based around a survey that was completed by staff in August 2024. This had an overall response rate of 40%, some directorates were significantly less. This puts into doubt the accuracy of the findings and whether the proposals can achieve the savings that have been highlighted.

There is not enough information contained within the high level EQIA. There needs to be an understanding of the potential impacts of OFC before it is agreed. These cuts are focussing on roles that are mainly undertaken by women in low paid positions. There is also a potential impact on customers through automation, especially for older people and those digitally excluded.

Scenario 4 shows a £10million investment in external capacity, but UNISON wants to see additional investment in our staff. Upskilling staff in AI in Year 1 would reduce

the need for external capacity in years 2 & 3, reduce the overall redundancy payouts, and develop a more resilient workforce.

The overall cost of OFC is £48million with a potential saving of £77million. UNISON believes rather than just looking at reducing staff costs, investment could have been made in economic growth bringing in more business rates and in turn increasing revenue for the Council.

Automation underpins everything OFC is hoping to deliver, yet there is no detail on how this will be achieved. We have not been provided with any evidence that Al will be able to replace roles currently undertaking by DC's greatest asset, their staff. Given this, the lack of EQIA data and reports being rushed though, can Cabinet be assured that this programme is the right direction for Dorset Council and its communities?